



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/602,899	06/24/2003	Robert C. Hightower	9066-28 2187	
20792 7	590 09/23/2005	EXAMINER		
MYERS BIG PO BOX 37428	EL SIBLEY & SAJC	TRAN, HANH VAN		
RALEIGH, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3637	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			02,899	HIGHTOWER, ROBERT C.			
		Exan	niner	Art Unit			
		Hanh	v. Tran	3637			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears o	n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>24 June 20</i>	03 .				
,	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/24/03</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the First Office Action on the Merits from the examiner in charge of this application.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitation in claim 8 of "indicia" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,899 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In each independent claims, the term "or the like" is vague, thus indefinite. Claim 27, since claim 16 recited that the inner and outer wall are substantially parallel, the limitation in claim 27 of the inner and outer walls being angled with each other renders the claimed indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6,368,694 to Marsh et al.

Marsh et al discloses a plastic corner protector comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Fig 1, a top member 23, an inner wall 40, an outer wall 31, a side wall 30, ribs 62, a recess provided adjacent the side wall between the inner wall 40 and side wall 30.

7. Claims 1, 6, 16-18, 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,947,037 to Hornberger et al.

Art Unit: 3637

Hornberger et al discloses al plastic corner protector 80 comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Figs 2, 7 and 12, an outer wall, an inner wall 82, a side wall 86,88, a top wall 140; wherein the side wall and the outer wall connect to form an acute angle of approximately 67 degrees.

8. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 570614 to Ivo-Internationale.

EP '614 discloses a corner protector for fitting onto a corner of a piece of furniture comprising all the elements recited in claim 28 including, such as shown in Fig 1, an enclosure (not shown), an outer wall 1, an inner wall 1, a side wall 2 connecting the inner and outer walls 1, a top member 2 disposed between and connected to at least one of the outer wall, the inner wall and the side wall.

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 7-16, 18-19, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USP 6,516,730 to Mason.

Mason discloses a plastic cover protector comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Figs 2-3, a top member 116C, an inner wall 116B, an outer wall 116A located opposite the inner wall 116A and extending

Art Unit: 3637

substantially parallel to the inner wall 116A, a side wall 118A, indicia (Fig 2), a recess provided adjacent the side wall between the inner wall and side wall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason in view of Marsh.

Mason discloses all the elements as discussed above except for a plurality of support ribs are provided on the top surface of the top member that connect the outer wall to the inner wall, at least one rib connects the outer wall to the side wall.

Marsh teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a corner protector with a plurality of ribs 62 for connecting the outer wall to the inner wall, as well as the outer wall to the side wall in order to increase the rigidity of the corner protector. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Mason by providing a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/602,899 Page 6

Art Unit: 3637

ribs 62 for connecting the outer wall to the inner wall, as well as the outer wall to the side wall in order to increase the rigidity of the corner protector, as taught by Marsh, since both teach alternate conventional corner protector structure, used for the same intended purpose of protecting the corner of an article, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Eyal, Gallien, Zoller et al, Gale, Gratz, Clee, Prete, and Karl et al all show structures similar to various elements of applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602;899

Art Unit: 3637

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVT

September 18, 2005

Hanh V. Tran Art Unit 3637